arXiv:1304.3360v1 [math-ph] 11 Apr 2013

April 12, 2013

HAMILTON-JACOBI THEORY IN k-COSYMPLECTIC FIELD THEORIES

M. DE LEÓN AND S. VILARIÑO

Abstract. In this paper we extend the geometric formalism of the Hamilton-Jacobi theory for time dependent Mechanics to the case of classical field theories in the k-cosymplectic framework.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The k-cosymplectic formalism 2
2.1. Geometric preliminaries: k-vector fields and integral sections. 2
2.2. k-cosymplectic manifolds 3
2.3. k-cosymplectic Hamiltonian field theory. 5
3. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation 7
4. An example 11
Acknowledgments 13
References 14

1. Introduction

The usefulness of Hamilton-Jacobi theory in Classical Mechanics is well-known, giving an alternative procedure to study and, in some cases, to solve the evolution equations [1]. The use of symplectic geometry in the study of Classical Mechanics has permitted to connect the Hamilton-Jacobi theory with the theory of Lagrangian submanifolds and generating functions [3].

At the beginning of the 1900s an analog of Hamilton-Jacobi equation for field theory has been developed [29], but it has not been proved to be as powerful as the theory which is available for mechanics [4, 5, 25, 26, 28, 30].

There are several recent attempts to extend the Hamilton-Jacobi theory for classical field theories in a geometrical setting. For instance in the framework of the so-called multisymplectic formalism [14, 25, 26] (see also [6, 11] for a general description of the multisymplectic setting) or in the k-symplectic formalism in [16] (see [24, 27] for a discussion of the relationship between both formulations, see also [10]). Our method is based in that developed by J.F. Cariñena *et al.* for Classical Mechanics [7, 8] (see also [13, 15]).

In the context of Classical Field Theories, the Hamiltonian is a function $H = H(x^\alpha, q^i, p^\alpha_i)$, where (x^α) are coordinates in the space-time, (q^i) represent the field

---

Key words and phrases. Hamilton-Jacobi theory, k-cosymplectic field theories.

coordinates and (p^α_i) are the conjugate momenta. In this context, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is [29]

$$\frac{\partial W^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + H\Big(x^\beta, q^i, \frac{\partial W^\alpha}{\partial q^i}\Big) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where $W^1, \dots, W^k \colon \mathbb{R}^k \times Q \to \mathbb{R}$.

The aim of this paper is to extend the Hamilton-Jacobi theory to field theories just in the context of k-cosymplectic manifolds [19, 20, 21]. The "dynamics" for a given Hamiltonian function H is interpreted as a family of vector fields (a k-vector field) on the phase space $\mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q$ (or in general on a k-cosymplectic manifold $(M, \eta^\alpha, \omega^\alpha, V)$).

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. 2, we recall the notion of k-vector field and their integral sections and give a briefly description of the k-cosymplectic formalism. In Sec. 3 we discuss the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in the k-cosymplectic context. Finally, an example is discussed in Sec. 4, with the aim to show how the method works.

We shall also adopt the convention that a repeated index implies summation over the range of the index, but, in some cases, to avoid confusions we will explicitly include the summation symbol.

2. The k-cosymplectic formalism

The k-cosymplectic formalisms [19, 21] is one of the simplest geometric frameworks for describing first order classical field theories (see [2] for the k-symplectic case). It is the generalization to field theories of the standard cosymplectic formalism for nonautonomous mechanics and it describes field theories involving the space-time coordinates on the Lagrangian and on the Hamiltonian cases. The foundations of the k-cosymplectic formalism are the k-cosymplectic manifolds. In this section we briefly recall this formalism.

2.1. Geometric preliminaries: k-vector fields and integral sections.

In this section we briefly recall some well-known facts about tangent bundles of k^1-velocities (we refer the reader to [17, 18, 22, 23, 24, 27] for more details).

Let $\tau_M : TM \longrightarrow M$ be the tangent bundle of M. Let us denote by T^1_kM the Whitney sum $TM \oplus \overset{k}{\dots} \oplus TM$ of k copies of TM, with projection $\tau : T^1_kM \longrightarrow M$, $\tau(v_{1p}, \dots, v_{kp}) = p$, where $v_{\alpha p} \in T_pM$, $1 \le \alpha \le k$. T^1_kM can be identified with the manifold $J^1_0(\mathbb{R}^k, M)$ of the k^1-velocities of M, that is, 1-jets of maps $\eta : \mathbb{R}^k \longrightarrow M$ with source at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, say

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J^1_0(\mathbb{R}^k, M) & \equiv & TM \oplus \overset{k}{\dots} \oplus TM \\ j^1_{0,p}\eta & \equiv & (v_{1p}, \dots, v_{kp}) \end{array}$$

where $x = \eta(0)$, and $v_{\alpha p} = T\eta(0)(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_0)$. Here $(x^1, \dots, x^k)$ denote the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^k$. T^1_kM is called the *tangent bundle of k^1-velocities* of M or simply k-tangent bundle for short, see [23].

Denote by (x^i, v^i) the fibred coordinates in TM from local coordinates (x^i) on M. Then we have fibred coordinates (x^i, v^i_α), $1 \le i \le m$, $1 \le \alpha \le k$, on T^1_kM, where $m = \dim M$.

Definition 2.1. *A section $X : M \longrightarrow T^1_kM$ of the projection τ will be called a* k-vector field *on M.*

Since T^1_kM is the Whitney sum $TM\oplus \overset{k}{\dots} \oplus TM$ of k copies of TM, we deduce given a k-vector field X is equivalent to giving a family of k vector fields $X_1,\dots,X_k$ on M by projecting X onto each factor. For this reason we will denote a k-vector field by $(X_1,\dots,X_k)$.

Definition 2.2. An integral section *of the k-vector field $X=(X_1,\dots,X_k)$, passing through a point $p\in M$, is a map $\psi\colon U_0\subset\mathbb{R}^k\longrightarrow M$, defined on some neighborhood U_0 of $0\in\mathbb{R}^k$, such that*

$$\psi(0)=p,\ T\psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x\right)=X_\alpha(\psi(x)),\quad \text{for every } x\in U_0,\ 1\leq\alpha\leq k$$

or, equivalently, ψ satisfies that $X\circ\psi=\psi^{(1)}$, being $\psi^{(1)}$ is the first prolongation of ψ to T^1_kM defined by

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\psi^{(1)}: & U_0\subset\mathbb{R}^k & \longrightarrow & T^1_kM\\ & x & \longrightarrow & \psi^{(1)}(x)=j^1_0\psi_x\ ,\end{array}$$

where $\psi_x(s)=\psi(x+s)$.

A k-vector field $X=(X_1,\dots,X_k)$ on M is said to be integrable *if there is an integral section passing through every point of M.*

In local coordinates, we have

$$\psi^{(1)}(x^1,\dots,x^k)=\left(\psi^i(x^1,\dots,x^k),\frac{\partial\psi^i}{\partial x^\alpha}(x^1,\dots,x^k)\right), \tag{2.1}$$

and then ψ is an integral section of $(X_1,\dots,X_k)$ if and only if the following equations hold:

$$\frac{\partial\psi^i}{\partial x^\alpha}=X^i_\alpha\circ\psi\quad 1\leq\alpha\leq k,\ 1\leq i\leq m\ , \tag{2.2}$$

being $X_\alpha=X^i_\alpha\dfrac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$.

Notice that, in case $k=1$, Definition 2.2 coincides with the definition of integral curve of a vector field.

2.2. k-cosymplectic manifolds.

Let Q be a differentiable manifold, $\dim Q=n$, and $\pi:T^*Q\to Q$ its cotangent bundle. Denote by $(T^1_k)^*Q=T^*Q\oplus\overset{k}{\dots}\oplus T^*Q$, the Whitney sum of k copies of T^*Q. The manifold $(T^1_k)^*Q$ can be identified with the manifold $J^1(Q,\mathbb{R}^k)_0$ of 1-jets of mappings from Q to $\mathbb{R}^k$ with target at $0\in\mathbb{R}^k$, the diffeomorphism is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}J^1(Q,\mathbb{R}^k)_0 & \equiv & T^*Q\oplus\overset{k}{\dots}\oplus T^*Q\\ j^1_{q,0}\sigma & \equiv & (d\sigma^1(q),\dots,d\sigma^k(q))\ ,\end{array}$$

where $\sigma^\alpha=\pi^\alpha\circ\sigma:Q\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$ is the α^{th} component of σ, and $\pi^\alpha:\mathbb{R}^k\to\mathbb{R}$ is the canonical projection onto the α^{th} component, for $\alpha=1,\dots,k$. $(T^1_k)^*Q$ is called *the cotangent bundle of k^1-covelocities of the manifold Q*.

The manifold $J^1\pi_Q$ of 1-jets of sections of the trivial bundle $\pi_Q:\mathbb{R}^k\times Q\to Q$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^k\times(T^1_k)^*Q$, via the diffeomorphism given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl}J^1\pi_Q & \to & \mathbb{R}^k\times(T^1_k)^*Q\\ j^1_q\phi=j^1_q(\phi_{\mathbb{R}^k},Id_Q) & \mapsto & (\phi_{\mathbb{R}^k}(q),\nu^1_q,\dots,\nu^k_q)\ ,\end{array}$$

where $\phi_{\mathbb{R}^k}:Q\xrightarrow{\phi}\mathbb{R}^k\times Q\xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{R}^k}}\mathbb{R}^k$, and $\nu^\alpha_q=d\phi^\alpha_{\mathbb{R}^k}(q)$, $1\leq\alpha\leq k$.

Throughout the paper, we use the following notation for the canonical projections

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q \arrow[r, "(\pi_Q)_{1,0}"] \arrow[dr, "(\pi_Q)_1"'] & \mathbb{R}^k \times Q \arrow[d, "\pi_Q"] \\
 & Q
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\pi_Q(x,q) = q, \quad (\pi_Q)_{1,0}(x,\nu^1_q,\dots,\nu^k_q) = (x,q), \quad (\pi_Q)_1(x,\nu^1_q,\dots,\nu^k_q) = q\,,$$

with $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $q \in Q$ and $(\nu^1_q,\dots,\nu^k_q) \in (T^1_k)^*Q$.

If (q^i) are local coordinates on $U \subseteq Q$, then the induced local coordinates (q^i,p_i), $1 \leq i \leq n$, on $(\tau^*_Q)^{-1}(U) = T^*U \subset T^*Q$, are given by

$$q^i(\nu_q) = q^i(q), \quad p_i(\nu_q) = \nu_q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\Big|_q\right)\,,$$

with $\nu_q \in T^*Q$, and the induced local coordinates $(x^\alpha, q^i, p^\alpha_i)$ on $[(\pi_Q)_1]^{-1}(U) = \mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*U$ are given by

$$x^\alpha(x,\nu^1_q,\dots,\nu^k_q) = x^\alpha,\; q^i(x,\nu^1_q,\dots,\nu^k_q) = q^i(q),\; p^i_\alpha(x,\nu^1_q,\dots,\nu^k_q) = \nu^\alpha_q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\Big|_q\right),$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$.

On $\mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q$, we consider the differential forms

$$\eta^\alpha = dx^\alpha = (\pi^\alpha_1)^* dx\,, \quad \theta^\alpha = (\pi^\alpha_2)^*\theta\,, \quad \omega^\alpha = (\pi^\alpha_2)^*\omega\,,$$

where $\pi^\alpha_1 : \mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi^\alpha_2 : \mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q \to T^*Q$ are the projections defined by

$$\pi^\alpha_1(x,\nu^1_q,\dots,\nu^k_q) = x^\alpha, \quad \pi^\alpha_2(x,\nu^1_q,\dots,\nu^k_q) = \nu^\alpha_q\,,$$

$\omega = -d\theta = dq^i \wedge dp_i$ is the canonical symplectic form on T^*Q and $\theta = p_i\, dq^i$ is the Liouville 1-form on T^*Q. Obviously $\omega^\alpha = -d\theta^\alpha$.

In local coordinates we have

$$\eta^\alpha = dx^\alpha, \quad \theta^\alpha = p^\alpha_i dq^i, \quad \omega^\alpha = dq^i \wedge dp^\alpha_i\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, let

$$V = ker\,(\,(\pi_Q)_{1,0})_* = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial p^1_i}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial p^k_i} \right\rangle_{i=1,\dots,n} \tag{2.4}$$

be the vertical distribution of the bundle $(\pi_Q)_{1,0} : \mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q \to \mathbb{R}^k \times Q$.

A simple inspection of the expressions in local coordinates, (2.3) and (2.4) show that the forms η^α and ω^α are closed, and the following relations hold

(i) $\eta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^k \neq 0, \quad (\eta^\alpha)|_V = 0, \quad (\omega^\alpha)|_{V\times V} = 0,$
(ii) $(\cap^k_{\alpha=1} \ker \eta^\alpha) \cap (\cap^k_{\alpha=1} \ker \omega^\alpha) = \{0\}, \quad dim(\cap^k_{\alpha=1} \ker \omega^\alpha) = k,$

Inspired by the above geometrical model we introduce the following (see [21]),

Definition 2.3. *Let M be a differentiable manifold of dimension $k(n+1)+n$. A k–*cosymplectic structure *on M is a family $(\eta^\alpha, \omega^\alpha, V; 1 \leq \alpha \leq k)$, where each η^α is a closed 1-form, each ω^α is a closed 2-form and V is an integrable nk-dimensional distribution on M satisfying (i) and (ii). M is said to be a k–*cosymplectic manifold.

The following theorem has been proved in [21]:

Theorem 2.4 (**Darboux theorem**). *If M is a k–cosymplectic manifold, then around each point of M there exist local coordinates $(x^\alpha, q^i, p^\alpha_i; 1 \leq \alpha \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq n)$ such that*

$$\eta^\alpha = dx^\alpha, \quad \omega^\alpha = dq^i \wedge dp^\alpha_i, \quad V = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial p^1_i}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial p^k_i} \right\rangle_{i=1,\dots,n} .$$

These coordinates will be called Darboux coordinates. The canonical model for these geometrical structures is $(\mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q, \eta^\alpha, \omega^\alpha, V)$.

2.3. **k-cosymplectic Hamiltonian field theory.** In this section we introduce the k-cosymplectic description of the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl equations

$$\frac{\partial \psi^i}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_i}\Big|_{\psi(x)}, \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha_i}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\psi(x)}, \tag{2.5}$$

where locally $\psi(x) = (x, \psi^i(x), \psi^\alpha_i(x))$. This approach was firstly introduced by M. de León *et al.* [21]. We will consider the general case on an arbitrary k-cosymplectic manifold M but everything can be particularize for the case of $M = \mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q$.

Definition 2.5. *Let $(M, \eta^\alpha, \omega^\alpha, V)$ be a k-cosymplectic manifold and $H\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Hamiltonian function. The family $(M, \eta^\alpha, \omega^\alpha, H)$ is called k-*cosymplectic Hamiltonian system*.*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $(M, \eta^\alpha, \omega^\alpha, H)$ a k-cosymplectic Hamiltonian system and $X = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ a k-vector field on M solution to the system of equations*

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta^\alpha(X_\beta) = \delta^\alpha_\beta, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq k \\ &\sum_{\alpha=1}^k \imath_{X_\alpha}\omega^\alpha = dH - \sum_{\alpha=1}^k R_\alpha(H)\eta^\alpha , \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $R_1, \dots, R_k$ are the Reeb vector fields associated with the k-cosymplectic structure on M which are characterized by the conditions

$$\imath_{R_\alpha}\eta^\beta = \delta^\beta_\alpha, \quad \imath_{R_\alpha}\omega^\beta = 0 .$$

If $\psi : \mathbb{R}^k \to M$, $\psi(x) = (x^\alpha, \psi^i(x), \psi^\alpha_i(x))$ is an integral section of the k-vector field X, then ψ is a solution of the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl equations (2.5).

Proof. Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ be a k-vector on M solution to (2.6). In Darboux coordinates each component X_α of the k-vector field $X = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ has the following local expression

$$X_\alpha = (X_\alpha)_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} + (X_\alpha)^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + (X_\alpha)^\beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\beta_i} .$$

Now, since

$$dH = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x^\alpha} dx^\alpha + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} dq^i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_i} dp^\alpha_i ,$$

and

$$\eta^\alpha = dx^\alpha, \quad \omega^\alpha = dq^i \wedge dp^\alpha_i, \quad R_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} ,$$

with $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$, we deduce that equation (2.6) is locally equivalent to the following local equations

$$(X_\alpha)_\beta = \delta^\beta_\alpha, \qquad \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_i} = (X_\alpha)^i, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^k (X_\alpha)^\alpha_i . \tag{2.7}$$

Let us suppose now that $X = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ is integrable and

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \psi\colon & \mathbb{R}^k & \to & M \\ & x & \mapsto & \psi(x) = (x^\alpha, \psi^i(x), \psi^\alpha_i(x)) \end{array}$$

is an integral section of X then (see (2.2))

$$(X_\alpha)_\beta \circ \psi = \delta^\beta_\alpha, \quad \frac{\partial \psi^i}{\partial x^\alpha} = (X_\alpha)^i \circ \psi, \quad \frac{\partial \psi^\beta_i}{\partial x^\alpha} = (X_\alpha)^\beta_i \circ \psi\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore, from (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain that $\psi(x) = (x, \psi^i(x), \psi^\alpha_i(x))$ is a solution of the following equations

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\psi(x)} = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial \psi^\alpha_i}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x\,, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_i}\Big|_{\psi(x)} = \frac{\partial \psi^i}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x\,,$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$, that is, ψ is a solution to the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl equations (2.5). □

Consequently, the equations (2.6) can be considered as a geometric version of the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl field equations. From now, we will call these equations (2.6) as k-cosymplectic Hamiltonian equations.

Definition 2.7. *A k-vector field $X = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ is called a* k-cosymplectic Hamiltonian k-vector field *for a k-cosymplectic Hamiltonian system $(M, \eta^\alpha, \omega^\alpha, H)$ if X is a solution of (2.6). We denote by $\mathfrak{X}^k_H(M)$ the set of k-vector fields which are solution of (2.6).*

Remark 2.8. We will discuss here about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of equations (2.6).

First of all, we shall prove the existence of geometric solutions.

Let $(M, \eta^\alpha, \omega^\alpha, V)$ be a k-cosymplectic manifold; then, we can define the vector bundle morphism

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \omega^\sharp : & T^1_k M & \longrightarrow & T^*M \\ & (X_1, \dots, X_k) & \mapsto & \displaystyle\sum_{\alpha=1}^k \imath_{X_\alpha}\omega^\alpha + \eta^\alpha(X_\alpha)\eta^\alpha\,. \end{array} \tag{2.9}$$

and, denoting by $\mathcal{M}_k(C^\infty(M))$ the space of matrices of order k whose entries are functions on M, we also have the vector bundle morphism

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \eta^\sharp : & T^1_k M & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_k(C^\infty(M)) \\ & (X_1, \dots, X_k) & \mapsto & \eta^\sharp(X_1, \dots, X_k) = (\eta^\alpha(X_\beta))\,. \end{array} \tag{2.10}$$

From the local conditions (2.7) we can define in a neighborhood of each point $x \in M$ a k-vector field that satisfies (2.6). For example we can put

$$(X_\alpha)_\beta = \delta^\beta_\alpha\,, \quad (X_1)^1_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\,, \quad (X_\alpha)^\beta_i = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \neq 1 \neq \beta\,, \quad (X_\alpha)^i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_i}\,.$$

Now one can construct a global k-vector field, which is a solution of (2.6), by using a partition of unity in the manifold M (see [19, 21] for more details).

It should be noticed that, in general, equations (2.6) do not have a unique solution. In fact, the solutions of (2.6) are given by $(X_1, \dots, X_k) + (\ker \omega^\sharp \cap \ker \eta^\sharp)$ for a particular solution $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$.

Let us observe that given a k-vector field $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$ the condition $Y \in \ker \omega^\sharp \cap \ker \eta^\sharp$ is locally equivalent to

$$(Y_\beta)_\alpha = 0, \quad Y^i_\beta = 0, \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} (Y_\alpha)^\alpha_i = 0\,. \tag{2.11}$$

◇

Remark 2.9. In the case $k = 1$ with $M = \mathbb{R} \times T^*Q$ the equations (2.6) reduces to the equations of the non-autonomous Hamiltonian Mechanics. ◇

3. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation

There are several attempts to extend the Hamilton-Jacobi theory for classical field theories. In [16] we have described this theory in the framework of the so-called k-symplectic formalism [2, 9, 17, 18]. In this section we consider the k-cosymplectic framework. Another attempts in the framework of the multisymplectic formalism [6, 11] have been discussed in [14, 25, 26].

Along this section we only consider Hamiltonian systems defined on the phase-space $\mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q$.

In Classical Field Theory the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is [29]

$$\frac{\partial W^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + H\Big(x^\beta, q^i, \frac{\partial W^\alpha}{\partial q^i}\Big) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

where $W^1, \dots, W^k \colon \mathbb{R}^k \times Q \to \mathbb{R}$.

The classical statement of time-dependent Hamilton-Jacobi equation for analytical mechanics is the following [1]:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $H \colon \mathbb{R} \times T^*Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Hamiltonian and T^*Q the symplectic manifold with the canonical symplectic structure $\omega = -d\theta$. Let X_{H_t} be a Hamiltonian vector field on T^*Q associated to the Hamiltonian $H_t \colon T^*Q \to \mathbb{R}$, $H_t(\nu_q) = H(t, \nu_q)$, and $W \colon \mathbb{R} \times Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. The following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *for every curve c in Q satisfying*

$$c'(t) = (\pi)_*\Big(X_{H_t}(dW_t(c(t)))\Big)$$

the curve $t \mapsto dW_t(c(t))$ is an integral curve of X_{H_t}, where $W_t \colon Q \to \mathbb{R}$, $W_t(q) = W(t, q)$.

(ii) *W satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equation*

$$H(x, q^i, \frac{\partial W}{\partial q^i}) + \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = \text{ constant on } T^*Q$$

that is,

$$H_t \circ dW_t + \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = K(t)\,.$$

In this section we introduce a geometric version of the Hamilton-Jacobi theory based in the k-cosymplectic formalism. In the particular case $k = 1$ we recover the above Theorem 3.1 for the time-dependent classical mechanics.

For each $x = (x^1, \dots, x^k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we consider the following mappings

$$\begin{array}{rccc} i_x\colon & Q & \to & \mathbb{R}^k \times Q \\ & q & \mapsto & (x, q) \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{rccc} j_x\colon & (T^1_k)^*Q & \to & \mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q \\ & (\nu^1_q, \dots, \nu^k_q) & \mapsto & (x, \nu^1_q, \dots, \nu^k_q) \end{array}$$

Let $\gamma\colon \mathbb{R}^k\times Q\to\mathbb{R}^k\times(T^1_k)^*Q$ be a section of $(\pi_Q)_{1,0}$. Let us observe that given a section γ is equivalent to giving a mapping $\bar\gamma\colon\mathbb{R}^k\times Q\to(T^1_k)^*Q$. If fact, given γ we define $\bar\gamma=\bar\pi_2\circ\gamma$ where $\bar\pi_2$ is the canonical projection $\bar\pi_2\colon\mathbb{R}^k\times(T^1_k)^*Q\to(T^1_k)^*Q$; conversely, given $\bar\gamma$ we define γ as the composition $\gamma(x,q)=(j_x\circ\bar\gamma)(x,q)$. Now, since $(T^1_k)^*Q$ is the Whitney sum of k copies of the cotangent bundle, to give γ is equivalent to give a family $(\bar\gamma^1,\dots,\bar\gamma^k)$ of 1-forms along the map $\pi_Q\colon\mathbb{R}^k\times Q\to Q$.

If we consider local coordinates $(x^\alpha,q^i,p^\alpha_i)$ we have the following local expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(x^\alpha,q^i)&=(x^\alpha,q^i,\gamma^\beta_j(x^\alpha,q^i))\,,\\ \bar\gamma(x^\alpha,q^i)&=(q^i,\gamma^\beta_j(x^\alpha,q^i))\,,\\ \bar\gamma^\alpha(x,q)&=\gamma^\alpha_j(x,q)dq^j(q)\,.\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, along this section we suppose that each $\bar\gamma^\alpha$ satisfies that its exterior differential $d\bar\gamma^\alpha$ vanishes over two $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^k}$-vertical vector fields. In local coordinates, using the local expressions (3.2), this condition implies that

$$\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial q^j}=\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial q^i}\,.\tag{3.3}$$

Now, let $Z=(Z_1,\dots,Z_k)$ be a k-vector field on $\mathbb{R}^k\times(T^1_k)^*Q$. Using γ we can construct a k-vector field $Z^\gamma=(Z^\gamma_1,\dots,Z^\gamma_k)$ on $\mathbb{R}^k\times Q$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{R}^k\times(T^1_k)^*Q \arrow[r, "Z"] \arrow[d, bend left, "(\pi_Q)_{1,0}"] & T^1_k(\mathbb{R}^k\times(T^1_k)^*Q) \arrow[d, "T^1_k(\pi_Q)_{1,0}"] \\
\mathbb{R}^k\times Q \arrow[r, "Z^\gamma"] \arrow[u, bend left, "\gamma"] & T^1_k(\mathbb{R}^k\times Q)
\end{tikzcd}$$

that is,

$$Z^\gamma:=T^1_k(\pi_Q)_{1,0}\circ Z\circ\gamma\,.$$

Let us recall that for an arbitrary differentiable map $f:M\to N$, the induced map $T^1_kf:T^1_kM\to T^1_kN$ of f is defined by

$$T^1_kf(v_{1x},\dots,v_{kx})=(f_*(x)(v_{1x}),\dots,f_*(x)(v_{kx}))\,,\tag{3.4}$$

where $v_{1x},\dots,v_{kx}\in T_xM$, $x\in M$

Let us observe that if Z is integrable then Z^γ is also integrable.

In local coordinates, if each Z_α is locally given by

$$Z_\alpha=(Z_\alpha)_\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}+Z^i_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}+(Z_\alpha)^\beta_i\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\beta_i}$$

then Z^γ_α has the following local expression:

$$Z^\gamma_\alpha=\big((Z_\alpha)_\beta\circ\gamma\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}+(Z^i_\alpha\circ\gamma)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\,.\tag{3.5}$$

In particular, if we consider the k-vector field $R=(R_1,\dots,R_k)$ given by the Reeb vector fields, we obtain, by a similar procedure, a k-vector field $(R^\gamma_1,\dots,R^\gamma_k)$ on $\mathbb{R}^k\times Q$. In local coordinates, since $R_\alpha={\partial}/{\partial x^\alpha}$ we have

$$R^\gamma_\alpha=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\,.$$

Next, we consider a Hamiltonian function $H\colon \mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and the corresponding Hamiltonian system on $\mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q$. Notice that if Z satisfies the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl equations (2.6), then we have

$$(Z_\alpha)_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\,.$$

Theorem 3.2 (Hamilton-Jacobi theorem). *Let $Z \in \mathfrak{X}^k_H(\mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q)$ be a k-vector field solution to the k-cosymplectic Hamiltonian equation (2.6) and $\gamma\colon \mathbb{R}^k \times Q \to \mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q$ be a section of $(\pi_Q)_{1,0}$ with the property described above. If Z is integrable then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *If a section $\psi\colon U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k \times Q$ of $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^k}\colon \mathbb{R}^k \times Q \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is an integral section of Z^γ then $\gamma \circ \psi$ is a solution of the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl equations (2.5);*
- (ii) $(\pi_Q)^*[d(H \circ \gamma \circ i_x)] + \sum_\alpha \imath_{R^\gamma_\alpha} d\bar{\gamma}^\alpha = 0$ *for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$.*

Proof. Let us suppose that a section $\psi\colon U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k \times Q$ is an integral section of Z^γ. In local coordinates that means that if $\psi(x) = (x^\alpha, \psi^i(x))$, then

$$[(Z_\alpha)_\beta \circ \gamma](\psi(x)) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (Z^i_\alpha \circ \gamma)(\psi(x)) = \frac{\partial \psi^i}{\partial x^\alpha}\,.$$

Now by hypothesis, $\gamma \circ \psi\colon U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q$ is a solution of the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl equation for H. In local coordinates, if $\psi(x) = (x, \psi^i(x))$, then $\gamma \circ \psi(x) = (x, \psi^i(x), \gamma^\alpha_i(\psi(x)))$ and as it is a solution of the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl equations for H, we have

$$\frac{\partial \psi^i}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_i}\Big|_{\gamma(\psi(x))} \text{ and } \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial(\gamma^\alpha_i \circ \psi)}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\gamma(\psi(x))}\,. \tag{3.6}$$

Now, if we compute the differential of the function $H \circ \gamma \circ i_x\colon Q \to \mathbb{R}$, we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\pi_Q)^*[d(H \circ \gamma \circ i_x)] + \textstyle\sum_\alpha \imath_{R^\gamma_\alpha} d\bar{\gamma}^\alpha \\ = &\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \circ \gamma \circ i_x + \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_j} \circ \gamma \circ i_x\right)\left(\frac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial q^i} \circ i_x\right) + \left(\frac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial x^\alpha} \circ i_x\right)\right) dq^i\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore from (3.3), (3.6) and (3.7) and taking into account that one can write $\psi(x) = (i_x \circ \pi_Q \circ \psi)(x)$, where $\pi_Q\colon \mathbb{R}^k \times Q \to Q$ is the canonical projection, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &((\pi_Q)^*[d(H \circ \gamma \circ i_x)] + \textstyle\sum_\alpha \imath_{R^\gamma_\alpha} d\bar{\gamma}^\alpha)(\pi_Q \circ \psi(x)) \\ = &\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\gamma(\psi(x))} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_j}\Big|_{\gamma(\psi(x))} \frac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\psi(x)} + \frac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_{\psi(x)}\right) dq^i(\pi_Q \circ \psi(x)) \\ = &\left(-\sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial(\gamma^\alpha_i \circ \psi)}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x + \frac{\partial \psi^j}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x \frac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\psi(x)} + \frac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_{\psi(x)}\right) dq^i(\pi_Q \circ \psi(x)) \\ = &\left(-\sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial(\gamma^\alpha_i \circ \psi)}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x + \frac{\partial \psi^j}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x \frac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial q^j}\Big|_{\psi(x)} + \frac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_{\psi(x)}\right) dq^i(\pi_Q \circ \psi(x)) \\ = &\ 0\,. \end{aligned}$$

As we have mentioned above, since Z is integrable, the k-vector field Z^γ is also integrable, and then for each point $(x, q) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times Q$ we have an integral section

$\psi\colon U\subset\mathbb{R}^k\to\mathbb{R}^k\times Q$ of Z^γ passing trough this point. Therefore, for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^k$, we get

$$(\pi_Q)^*[d(H\circ\gamma\circ i_x)]+\sum_\alpha \imath_{R^\gamma_\alpha}d\bar{\gamma}^\alpha=0\,.$$

Conversely, let us suppose that $(\pi_Q)^*[d(H\circ\gamma\circ i_x)]+\sum_\alpha \imath_{R^\gamma_\alpha}d\bar{\gamma}^\alpha=0$ and take ψ an integral section of Z^γ. We now will prove that $\gamma\circ\psi$ is a solution to the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl field equations for H.

Since $(\pi_Q)^*[d(H\circ\gamma\circ i_x)]+\sum_\alpha \imath_{R^\gamma_\alpha}d\bar{\gamma}^\alpha=0$ from (3.7) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\circ\gamma\circ i_x+\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_j}\circ\gamma\circ i_x\right)\left(\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial q^i}\circ i_x\right)+\left(\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial x^\alpha}\circ i_x\right)=0\,. \tag{3.8}$$

From (2.7) and (3.5) we know that

$$Z^\gamma_\alpha=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}+\Big(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_i}\circ\gamma\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\,, \tag{3.9}$$

and then since $\psi(x,q)=(x,\psi^i(x,q))$ is an integral section of Z^γ we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial\psi^i}{\partial x^\alpha}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_i}\circ\gamma\circ\psi\,. \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand, from (3.3), (3.8) and (3.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha=1}^k\frac{\partial(\gamma^\alpha_i\circ\psi)}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x&=\sum_{\alpha=1}^k\left(\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_{\psi(x)}+\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial q^j}\Big|_{\psi(x)}\frac{\partial\psi^j}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_x\right)=\\ =&\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^k\left(\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_{\psi(x)}+\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial q^j}\Big|_{\psi(x)}\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_j}\Big|_{\gamma(\psi(x))}\right)\\ =&\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^k\left(\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big|_{\psi(x)}+\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\psi(x)}\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_j}\Big|_{\gamma(\psi(x))}\right)=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}\Big|_{\gamma(\psi(x))}\end{aligned}$$

and thus we have proved that $\gamma\circ\psi$ is a solution to the Hamilton-de Donder-Weyl equations. ☐

Theorem 3.3. *Let $Z\in\mathfrak{X}^k_H(\mathbb{R}^k\times(T^1_k)^*Q)$ be a k-vector field solution to the k-cosymplectic Hamiltonian equation (2.6) and $\gamma\colon\mathbb{R}^k\times Q\to\mathbb{R}^k\times(T^1_k)^*Q$ be a section of $(\pi_Q)_{1,0}$ satisfying the same conditions of the above theorem. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $Z|_{Im\,\gamma}-T^1_k\gamma(Z^\gamma)\in\ker\omega^\sharp\cap\ker\eta^\sharp$, *being $\omega^\sharp$ and $\eta^\sharp$ the vector bundle morphism defined in Remark 2.8;*
- (ii) $(\pi_Q)^*[d(H\circ\gamma\circ i_x)]+\sum_\alpha \imath_{R^\gamma_\alpha}d\bar{\gamma}^\alpha=0$.

Proof. A direct computation shows that $Z_\alpha|_{Im\,\gamma}-T\gamma(Z^\gamma_\alpha)$ has the following local expression

$$\left((Z_\alpha)^\beta_j\circ\gamma-\frac{\partial\gamma^\beta_j}{\partial x^\alpha}-(Z^i_\alpha\circ\gamma)\frac{\partial\gamma^\beta_j}{\partial q^i}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\beta_j}\circ\gamma\,.$$

Thus from (2.11) we know that $Z|_{Im\,\gamma}-T^1_k\gamma(Z^\gamma)\in\ker\omega^\sharp\cap\ker\eta^\sharp$ if and only if

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^k\left((Z_\alpha)^\alpha_j\circ\gamma-\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial x^\alpha}-(Z^i_\alpha\circ\gamma)\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial q^i}\right)=0\,. \tag{3.11}$$

Now we are ready to prove the result.

Assume that (i) holds, then from (2.7), (3.3) and (3.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\left((Z_\alpha)^\alpha_j \circ \gamma - \frac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial x^\alpha} - (Z^i_\alpha \circ \gamma)\frac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial q^i}\right) \\ = & -\left(\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^j}\circ\gamma\right) + \textstyle\sum_\alpha \dfrac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial x^\alpha} + \left(\dfrac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_i}\circ\gamma\right)\dfrac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial q^i}\right) \\ = & -\left(\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^j}\circ\gamma\right) + \textstyle\sum_\alpha \dfrac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_j}{\partial x^\alpha} + \left(\dfrac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha_i}\circ\gamma\right)\dfrac{\partial \gamma^\alpha_i}{\partial q^j}\right) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\pi_Q)^*[d(H\circ\gamma\circ i_x)] + \imath_{R^\gamma_\alpha} d\bar\gamma^\alpha = 0$ (see (3.7)).

The converse is proved in a similar way by reversing the arguments. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let $Z \in \mathfrak{X}^k_H(\mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q)$ be a solution of (2.6) and $\gamma\colon \mathbb{R}^k \times Q \to \mathbb{R}^k \times (T^1_k)^*Q$ be a section of $(\pi_Q)_{1,0}$ as in the above theorem. If Z is integrable then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $Z|_{Im\gamma} - T^1_k\gamma(Z^\gamma) \in \ker\omega^\sharp \cap \ker\eta^\sharp$;
- (ii) $(\pi_Q)^*[d(H\circ\gamma\circ i_x)] + \sum_\alpha \imath_{R^\gamma_\alpha} d\bar\gamma^\alpha = 0$;
- (iii) *If a section $\psi\colon U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k \times Q$ of $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^k}\colon \mathbb{R}^k \times Q \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is an integral section of Z^γ then $\gamma\circ\psi$ is a solution of the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl equations (2.5).*

Let us observe that there exist k local functions W^α such that $\bar\gamma^\alpha = dW^\alpha_x$ being W_x the function defined by $W^\alpha_x(q) = W^\alpha(x,q)$. Thus $\gamma^\alpha_i = {\partial W^\alpha}/{\partial q^i}$ (see [12]). Therefore, the condition

$$(\pi_Q)^*[d(H\circ\gamma\circ i_x)] + \sum_\alpha \imath_{R^\gamma_\alpha} d\bar\gamma^\alpha = 0$$

can be equivalently written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\left(\frac{\partial W^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + H(x^\beta, q^i, \frac{\partial W^\alpha}{\partial q^i})\right) = 0.$$

The above expressions mean that

$$\frac{\partial W^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + H(x^\beta, q^i, \frac{\partial W^\alpha}{\partial q^i}) = K(x^\beta)$$

so that if we put $\tilde H = H - K$ we deduce the standard form of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (since H and $\tilde H$ give the same Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl equations).

$$\frac{\partial W^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + \tilde H(x^\beta, q^i, \frac{\partial W^\alpha}{\partial q^i}) = 0\,. \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore the equation

$$(\pi_Q)^*[d(H\circ\gamma\circ i_x)] + \sum_\alpha \imath_{R^\gamma_\alpha} d\bar\gamma^\alpha = 0 \tag{3.13}$$

can be considered as a geometric version of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for k-cosymplectic field theories.

4. An example

In this section we will apply our method to a particular example in classical field theories.

The equation of a scalar field ϕ (for instance the gravitational field) which acts on the 4-dimensional space-time is (see [11]):

$$(\Box + m^2)\phi = F'(\phi)\,, \tag{4.1}$$

where m is the mass of the particle over which the fields acts, F is a scalar function such that $F(\phi) - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$ is the potential energy of the particle of mass m, and $\Box$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator given by

$$\Box\phi\colon = div\, grad\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(\sqrt{-g}g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\beta}\right),$$

$(g_{\alpha\beta})$ being a pseudo-Riemannian metric tensor in the 4-dimensional space-time of signature $(-+++)$, and $\sqrt{-g} = \sqrt{-\det g_{\alpha\beta}}$.

We consider the Lagrangian

$$L(x^1,x^2,x^3,x^4,q,v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4) = \sqrt{-g}\Big(F(q) - \frac{1}{2}m^2q^2\Big) + \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}v_\alpha v_\beta\,,$$

where q denotes the scalar field ϕ and v_α the partial derivative $\partial\phi/\partial x^\alpha$. Then the equation (4.1) is just the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to L.

Consider the Hamiltonian function $H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4\times(T^1_4)^*\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$H(x^1,x^2,x^3,x^4,q,p^1,p^2,p^3,p^4) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}}g_{\alpha\beta}p^\alpha p^\beta - \sqrt{-g}\left(F(q) - \frac{1}{2}m^2q^2\right),$$

where (x^1,x^2,x^3,x^4) are the coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^4$, q denotes the scalar field ϕ and $(x^1,x^2,x^3,x^4,q,p^1,p^2,p^3,p^4)$ the canonical coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^4\times(T^1_4)^*\mathbb{R}$. Let us recall that this Hamiltonian function can be obtained from the Lagrangian L just using the Legendre transformation defined in [21, 22].

Then

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} = -\sqrt{-g}\Big(F'(q) - m^2q\Big), \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}g_{\alpha\beta}p^\beta\,. \tag{4.2}$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation becomes

$$-\sqrt{-g}\Big(F'(q) - m^2q\Big) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}g_{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\beta\frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial\gamma^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} = 0\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Since our main goal is to show how the method developed in Section 3 works, we will consider, for simplicity, the following particular case:

$$F(q) = \frac{1}{2}m^2q^2,$$

being $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ the Minkowski metric on $\mathbb{R}^4$, i.e. $(g_{\alpha\beta}) = diag(-1,1,1,1)$.

Let $\gamma\colon\mathbb{R}^4\to\mathbb{R}^4\times(T^1_k)^*\mathbb{R}$ be the section of $(\pi_\mathbb{R})_{1,0}$ defined by the family of 4 1-forms along of $\pi_\mathbb{R}\colon\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$

$$\bar{\gamma}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}C_\alpha q^2dq$$

with $1\leq\alpha\leq 4$ and where C_α are four constants such that $C_1^2 = C_2^2 + C_3^3 + C_4^2$. This section γ satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (4.3) that in this particular case is given by

$$-\frac{1}{2}C_1^2q^3 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=2}^4 C_a^2q^3 = 0\,,$$

therefore, the condition (ii) of the Theorem 3.2 holds.

The 4-vector field $Z^\gamma = (Z_1^\gamma, Z_2^\gamma, Z_3^\gamma, Z_4^\gamma)$ is locally given by

$$Z_1^\gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} - \frac{1}{2}C_1q^2\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\,,\quad Z_a^\gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \frac{1}{2}C_aq^2\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\,,$$

with $a = 2,3,4$. The map $\psi\colon\mathbb{R}^4\to\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\psi(x^1,x^2,x^3,x^4) = \frac{2}{C_1x^1 - C_2x^2 - C_3x^3 - C_4x^4 + C}\,,\quad C\in\mathbb{R}\,,$$

is an integral section of the 4-vector field Z^γ.

By Theorem 3.2 one obtains that the map $\varphi = \gamma \circ \psi$, locally given by

$$(x^\alpha) \to (x^\alpha, \psi(x^\alpha), \frac{1}{2}C_\beta(\psi(x^\alpha))^2)\,,$$

is a solution of the Hamilton-De Donder-Weyl equations associated to H, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\Big(\frac{1}{2}C_\alpha \psi^2\Big)\,, \\ -\tfrac{1}{2}C_1\psi^2 &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^1}\,, \\ \tfrac{1}{2}C_a\psi^2 &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^a}\,, \quad a = 2,3,4\,. \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that these equations imply that the scalar field ψ is a solution to the 3-dimensional wave equation.

In this particular example the functions W^α are given by

$$W^\alpha(x,q) = \frac{1}{6}C_\alpha q^3 + h(x)\,,$$

where $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

In [26, 31], the authors describe an alternative method that can be compared with the above one.

First, we consider the set of functions $W^\alpha\colon \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq 4$ defined by

$$W^\alpha(x,q) = (q - \frac{1}{2}\phi(x))\sqrt{-g}g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\beta}\,,$$

where ϕ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation (4.1). Using these functions we can consider a section γ of $(\pi_\mathbb{R})_{1,0}\colon \mathbb{R}^4 \times (T^1_4)^*\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}$ with components

$$\gamma^\alpha = \frac{\partial W^\alpha}{\partial q} = \sqrt{-g}g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\beta}\,.$$

By a direct computation we obtain that this section γ is a solution to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (3.13).

Now from (3.9) and (4.2) we obtain the 4-vector field Z^γ is given by

$$Z^\gamma_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\,. \tag{4.4}$$

Let us observe that Z^γ is an integrable 4-vector field on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}$. Using the Hamilton-Jacobi theorem we obtain that $\sigma = (id_{\mathbb{R}^4}, \phi)\colon \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}$ is an integral section of the 4-vector field Z^γ defined by (4.4), then $\gamma \circ \sigma$ is a solution of the Hamilton-De Donder Weyl equation associated with the Hamiltonian of the massive scalar field.

If we now consider the particular case $F(q) = m^2q^2$, we obtain the Klein-Gordon equation; this is just the case discussed in [26].

Acknowledgments

This work has been partially supported by *Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación*, Projects MTM2010-21186-C02-01, MTM2011-22585, MTM2011-15725-E; the European project IRSES-project GeoMech-246981; the ICMAT Severo Ochoa project SEV-2011-0087 and *Gobierno de Aragón* E24/1.

References

[1] R.A. Abraham, J.E. Marsden: Foundations of Mechanics (Second Edition), Benjamin-Cummings Publishing Company, New York, 1978.

[2] A. Awane: k-symplectic structures. *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1992), 4046–4052.

[3] M. Barbero-Liñan, M. de León, D. Martín de Diego: Lagrangian submanifolds and Hamilton-Jacobi equation. arXiv:1209.0807 [math-ph]

[4] M.C. Bertin, B.M. Pimentel, P.J. Pompeia: Hamilton-Jacobi approach for first order actions and theories with higher order derivatives. *Ann. Physics* **323** (2008), no. 3, 527–547.

[5] D. Bruno: Constructing a class of solutions for the Hamilton-Jacobi equations in field theory. *J. Math. Phys.* **48**, 112902 (2007), no. 11.

[6] F. Cantrijn, A. Ibort, M. de León: On the geometry of multisymplectic manifolds. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **66** (1999), 303–330.

[7] J. F. Cariñena, X. Gràcia, G. Marmo, E. Martínez, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, N. Román-Roy: Geometric Hamilton-Jacobi theory. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **3** (2006), no. 7, 1417–1458.

[8] J. F. Cariñena, X. Gràcia, G. Marmo, E. Martínez, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, N. Román-Roy: Geometric Hamilton-Jacobi Theory for Nonholonomic Dynamical Systems. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **7** (2010), no. 3, 431–454.

[9] C. Günther: The polysymplectic Hamiltonian formalism in field theory and calculus of variations I: The local case. *J. Differential Geom.* **25** (1987), no. 1, 23-53.

[10] H. Loumu-Fergane, A. Belaidi: Multisymplectic geometry and k-cosymplectic structure for the field theories and the relativistic mechanics. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys*, **10** (2013), no. 4, (doi: 10.1142/S0219887813500011)

[11] J. Kijowski, W. M. Tulczyjew: A symplectic framework for field theories. *Lecture Notes in Physics*, 107. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.

[12] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu: Foundations of differential geometry. *Interscience Publishers* vol I (1963).

[13] M. de León, D. Iglesias-Ponte, D. Martín de Diego: Towards a Hamilton-Jacobi theory for nonholonomic mechanical systems. *J. Phys. A* **41** (2008), no. 1, 015205, 14 pp

[14] M. de León, J.C. Marrero, D. Martín de Diego: A geometric Hamilton-Jacobi theory for classical field theories. In *Variations, Geometry and Physics, in honour of Demeter Krupka's sixty-fifth birthday*, O. Krupkova and D. J. Saunders (Editors), Nova Science Publishers Inc., New York 2009, pp. 129–140.

[15] M. de León, J.C. Marrero, D. Martín de Diego: Linear almost Poisson structures and Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Applications to nonholonomic Mechanics. *J. Geom. Mech.* **2** (2010), no. 2, 159–198

[16] M. de León, J.C. Marrero, D. Martín de Diego, M. Salgado, S. Vilariño: Hamilton-Jacobi theory in k-symplectic field theories. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* 7 (2010), no. 8, 1491–1507.

[17] M. de León, I. Méndez, M. Salgado: p-almost tangent structures. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* Serie II **XXXVII** (1988), no. 2, 282-294.

[18] M. de León, I. Méndez, M. Salgado: Integrable p-almost tangent structures and tangent bundles of p^1-velocities. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **58**(1-2) (1991), 45-54.

[19] M. de León, E. Merino, M. Salgado: k-cosymplectic manifolds and Lagrangian field theories. *J. Math. Phys.* 42 (2001), no. 5, 2092–2104.

[20] M. de León, E. Merino, M. Salgado: Stable almost cotangent structures. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* (7) 11 (1997), no. 3, 509–529.

[21] M. de León, E. Merino, J.A. Oubiña, P.R. Rodrigues, M. Salgado: Hamiltonian systems on k-cosymplectic manifolds. *J. Math. Phys.* 39 (1998), no. 2, 876–893.

[22] M. de León, M. McLean, L.K. Norris, A.M. Rey, M. Salgado: Geometric Structures in Field Theory. ArXiv:math-ph/0208036v1 (2002).

[23] A. Morimoto: Liftings of some types of tensor fields and connections to tangent p^r-velocities. *Nagoya Math. J.* **40** (1970), 13-31.

[24] F. Munteanu, A. M. Rey, M. Salgado: The Günther's formalism in classical field theory: momentum map and reduction. *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004), no. 5, 1730–1751.

[25] C. Paufler, H. Romer: De Donder-Weyl equations and multisymplectic geometry. In: *XXXIII Symposium on Mathematical Physics (Torún, 2001). Rep. Math. Phys.* **49** (2002), no. 2-3, 325–334.

[26] C. Paufler, H. Romer: Geometry of Hamiltonian n-vector fields in multisymplectic field theory. *J. Geom. Phys.* **44** (2002), no. 1, 52–69.

[27] N. Román-Roy, M.A. Rey, M. Salgado, S. Vilariño: On the k-symplectic, k-cosymplectic and multisymplectic formalisms of classical field theories. *J. Geom. Mech.* **3** (2011), no. 1, 113–137.

[28] G. Rosen: Hamilton-Jacobi functional theory for the integration of classical field equations. *Internat. J Theoret. Phys.* **4** (1971), 281–285.

[29] H. Rund: The Hamilton-Jacobi Theory in the Calculus of Variations. Hazell, Watson and Viney Ltd., Aylesbury, Buckinghamshire, U.K. 1966.

[30] L. Vitagliano: The Hamilton-Jacobi formalism for higher order field theories. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* 7 (2010), no. 8, 1413–1436.

[31] Zhi-Qiang Guo, I. Schmidt: Converting Classical Theories to Quantum Theories by Solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi Equation. 2012. Preprint. arXiv:1204.1361v2 [hep-th].

M. de León: Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Serrano 123, 28006 Madrid, Spain

E-mail address: `mdeleon@icmat.es`

S. Vilariño: Centro Universitario de la Defensa & I.U.M.A., Carretera de Huesca s/n, 50090 Zaragoza, Spain

E-mail address: `silviavf@unizar.es`